Pupil Premium Strategy Statement: St Augustine's Catholic Primary School 2017/2018

1.Summary information						
School St Augustine's Catholic Primary School						
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP budget	£61,020	Date of most recent PP Review	July 2017	
Total number of pupils	201	Number of pupils eligible for PP	56	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 2018	

2.Current attainment (2017 leavers)				
9 Pupils	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)		
% making progress in Reading	56%	71%		
Reading progress score	-1.1	0		
% making progress in Writing	67%	76%		
Writing progress score	1.8	0		
% making progress in Maths	78%	75%		
Maths progress score	2.1	0		

3.	Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including high ability)
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)
Α	EAL background – in each class there continues to be significant numbers of pupils from non English speaking backgrounds. This impacts on their ability to reach age related expectations by the end of KS2. This is also a limiting factor in relation to both writing and reading comprehension achievement across the school.
В	Poor social skills and low self esteem of identified pupils.
С	Lack of progress in Reading.
Extern	al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)
D	Poor Attendance is an issue for some identified PP children

4. Ou	tcomes	
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
Α	To accelerate progress with PP children in Reading, Writing and Maths in KS1 and throughout KS2 through carefully planned interventions and monitoring.	Progress from KS1 to KS2 is at least in line with progress rates of non-PP children.
В	Identified pupils will be supported by in-school Learning Mentors	Pupils show more confidence and improvement in social skills
С	Raise attainment in Reading across the school for all children.	All PP pupils to make at least expected progress as defined by internal tracking system which is aligned to national expectations.
D	Attendance of PP children will be at least in line with the national average	Attendance is improved for all PP children

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2017/18

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementa tion?
Progress from KS1 to KS2 is at least in line with the national average.	Staff training on improving standards in Reading and Writing led by an external consultant.	Raising attainment in Reading and Writing are whole school priorities. All staff and pupils will benefit long term from this investment in training.	Use Inset days to deliver training English leader to oversee implementation of suggested actions Learning walks Termly tracking . KS leaders and class teachers monitor the impact of interventions.	SLT and PP co-ordinator	Jan 2018
Increase the % of children reaching National Standard in all three areas at the end of KS2	Staff training on Guided Reading and mastery in Maths. Staff training on formative assessment and the use of internal tracker SPTO to show progress.		Interventions are adapted when necessary.		

Higher ability pupils maintain at least expected progress throughout the Key Stage particularly in Reading.	Effective differentiation to challenge the HA pupils Challenge activities in each Maths lesson – resources purchased to support this High quality texts explored in English to further develop comprehension skills Booster teacher to work with identified pupils two mornings per week. Additional TA to support pupils in KS1.	Raise-on-line data for 2016-17 showed that the progress of high prior attaining pupils in Reading was below national	Higher attainers to be recognised and their progress reviewed in termly pupil progress meetings to ensure support provided where appropriate. Work scrutiny Learning walks Pupil tracking	SLT and class teachers	Oct/Feb/July 2018
					£20,000

ii. Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?
Progress from KS1 to KS2 is at least in line with the national average.	1:1 & small group intervention work Targeted reading and comprehension activities in both small groups and 1:1. Targeted intervention in English and Maths in small teaching groups. Booster teacher to work with identified pupils two mornings per week. Additional TA to support pupils in KS1.	Some of the students need targeted support to continue with their development and can be held back by one area of learning, which impacts on progress in the whole subject. Regular quality interventions have an impact on the ongoing development of a child's reading skills and by supporting work completed in class and at home, children in KS2 will be able to at least maintain their progress.	Pupil progress meetings between staff carrying out interventions, class teachers and SLT to review progress and amend interventions in order to have maximum effect. Regular meetings between staff carrying out interventions, class teachers and SLT to review progress and amend interventions in order to have maximum effect.	SLT	Termly
Higher ability pupils maintain at least expected progress throughout the Key Stage particularly in Reading.	Effective differentiation to challenge the HA pupils Challenge activities in each Maths lesson – resources purchased to support this High quality texts explored in English to further develop comprehension skills	Raise-on-line data for 2016-17 showed that the progress of high prior attaining pupils in Reading was lower than national.	High attainers to be recognised and their progress reviewed in termly pupil progress meetings to ensure support provided where appropriate. Work scrutiny Learning walks Pupil tracking	SLT and class teachers	Feb 2018
	I		Total bu	dgeted cost	£33,000

iii. Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?
Attendance of pupils eligible for PP is in line with other pupils.	EWO to monitor and carry out home visits if necessary.	Raise-on-line shows that attendance of pupils eligible for PP is below other pupils'.	Regular meetings between SMT and EWO.	MS	Jan 2018
	Fortnightly meetings with attendance officer. Tracking of individual pupil attendance particularly those who fall below 95%	Spotlighting families where attendance falls below 90% in order to increase attendance for individual pupils and increase whole school attendance figures.	Track attendance weekly. Provide release time for Office manager to work with Attendance Officer		
Academic progress is not affected by emotional issues	Liaise with specialist agencies for support.	The EEF Toolkit suggests that "Social and Emotional learning have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself (four months' additional progress on average)."	Ensure early identification of 'affected' pupils Use specialist agencies to engage with children and parents where appropriate. (Ed Psych, PSS, CAT)	SMT and PP co-ordinator	Jun 2018
	1	I	Total bu	dgeted cost	£7,000

6. Review of exper	nditure			
Previous Academic	Year	2016/2017		
i. Quality Teacl	ning			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost: £34,000
Improved outcomes for PP pupils in Reading, Writing and Maths at the end of each Key Stage.	Targeted intervention in English and Maths in small teaching groups. Booster teacher to work with identified pupils two mornings per week. Additional TA to support pupils in KS1.	2017: End of KS2: 9 pupils - 5 pupils achieved the national standard in reading, 6 in writing and 5 in maths. Progress measures for PP pupils was as follows: Reading: - 1.1 Writing: 1.8 Maths: 2.1 Of the 9 pupils eligible for PP funding in Y6, 6 had additional identified SEN	The majority of pupils benefited from increased support personalised to their need as evidenced by internal data. As they embed their roles in school subject leaders need to continue to monitor the progress of PP children in detail This approach to targeted intervention will continue.	
ii. Targeted sup	port			ı
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost: £31,000
Attainment gap has narrowed between PP pupils and non PP.	Targeted intervention in English and Maths in small teaching groups. Booster teacher to work with identified pupils two mornings per week. Additional TA to support pupils in KS1.	2017: End of KS2: Overall progress for disadvantaged pupils is at least in line with expectations (0) for all pupils. Attainment for disadvantaged pupils is below that of other pupils nationally in Reading but higher in both Writing and Maths End of KS1: For KS1 disadvantaged pupils, attainment in all subjects for all EYFS development groups was close to national figures for other pupils.	All pupils benefited from additional support and intervention. Most PP children make at least expected progress throughout the school – need to ensure that pupils eligible for PP also make more than expected progress to narrow the gap further. This approach to targeted intervention will continue.	

iii. Other approa							
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost: Reflected above			
Increase parental involvement in completion of homework to support learning	Embed the use of "Homework Diaries" which allow home school dialogue Planned cycle of transition meetings to clarify expectations Time to complete homework in school as appropriate	Parents have responded well to the "Homework Diary" and it has promoted home school dialogue. Transition meetings were very well attended and feedback from parents was very positive. Pupils have developed increased responsibility for the completion of homework tasks	. The use of "Homework Diaries" will continue.				

iv. Additional detail

Additional information which we have used to inform the statement above.

Analyse School Performance 2016/2017

Inspection Dashboard 2016/2017
Internal tracking data
Pupil Premium Review – July 2017
Pupil Premium Development Plans